

MAT347: Groups, Rings and Fields

QiLin Xue

Fall 2021

Contents

1	Ring Theory	2
1.1	Eisenstein's Criterion	2
1.2	Noetherian Rings	3
2	Modules	3

1 Ring Theory

1.1 Eisenstein's Criterion

Lemma 1: Eisenstein's Criterion: Of $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$,

$$f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0,$$

and if p is a prime such that $p|a_i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, a_{n-1}$ but p^2 does not divide a_0 then f is irreducible.

Proof. Suppose

$$f(x) = (x^k + b_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \cdots + b_1x + b_0)(x^\ell + c_{\ell-1}x^{\ell-1} + \cdots + c_1x + c_0).$$

Then take the constant term $a_0 = b_0c_0$. One of b_0, c_0 is not divisible by p so WLOG let b_0 not divisible by p . Modulo p , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x^n \\ &= (x^k + \cdots + b_0)(x^\ell + \cdots + 0) \\ &= x^k + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where b_0 is nonzero mod p . Whichever coefficient is nonzero mod p with the highest power of x (other than x^k, x^ℓ) will give a nonzero term in the product.

NB: There is some subtlety to this last step. We should consider the term where we multiply b_n by the lowest nonzero term in the second factor. Then we can show there is no other term with the same degree that can cancel it out. \square

For example, for any odd prime p ,

$$f(x) := \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} = x^{p-1} + x^{p-2} + \cdots + x + 1.$$

Now consider $f(x+1)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+1) &= \frac{(x+1)^p - 1}{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{x} \left(x^p + px^{p-1} + \binom{p}{2}x^{p-2} + \cdots + px \right) \\ &= x^{p-1} + px^{p-2} + \binom{p}{2}x^{p-3} + \cdots + p. \end{aligned}$$

Note that all the binomial coefficients are divisible by p . The constant coefficient is p , so $f(x+1)$ is an Eisenstein polynomial, and therefore it is irreducible. We can now extend Eisenstein's Criterion to be in general,

Theorem: Eisenstein's Criterion for UFD: If R is a UFD, and $f(x) \in R[x]$, is such that there is some prime ideal P such that f is monic but all its coefficients except the first are in P and the constant term is not in P^2 , then f is irreducible.

Theorem: If F is a field, then the maximal ideals in $F[x]$ are of the form $(g(x))$, where $g(x)$ is irreducible.

That is, $F[x]/(g(x))$ is a field if and only if $g(x)$ is irreducible. This implies that

$$\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2 + 1) \tag{1.1}$$

is a field since $x^2 + 1$ has no roots in \mathbb{Q} . Similarly, $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1)$ is a field, and we can conclude that

$$\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1) = (\bar{1}, \bar{x}) \cong \mathbb{C}, \tag{1.2}$$

with $\bar{x}^2 = -1$.

If $f(x) \in F[x]$, we can factor it as

$$f(x) = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}, \tag{1.3}$$

with $p_i(x) \in F[x]$ are irreducible. Let us assume that the p_i s are distinct. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$F[x]/(f(x)) \cong F[x]/(p_1(x)^{a_1}) \times \cdots \times F[x]/(p_r(x)^{a_r}).$$

We'll come back to this later.

Proposition 1: If a_1, \dots, a_r are roots of $f(x)$, then $f(x) \in F[x]$ is divisible by $(x - a_1)(x - a_2) \cdots (x - a_r)$.

While quite simple, this leads to an interesting corollary,

Corollary 1: Given any field F , any finite subgroup of H of the multiplicative group F^\times of F must be cyclic.

We know that, from the classification of finite abelian groups,

$$H \cong C_{m_1} \times C_{m_2} \times \cdots \times C_{m_k} \tag{1.4}$$

where $m_1 | m_2 | \cdots | m_k$. Every element of $x \in C_{m_k}$ satisfies $x^{m_k} = 1$. But since $m_i | m_k$, we also know that $x^{m_k} = 1$ is also true for any $x \in C_{m_i}$.

If $k > 1$, there are more than m_k roots of $x^{m_k} - 1$, so we have a contradiction, and we must have $k = 1$.

Corollary, the multiplicative group of any finite field is cyclic.

1.2 Noetherian Rings

Definition: A ring R is Noetherian if every ideal I is R if finitely generated.

As a non-example, $F[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ with an infinite number of variables is not Noetherian as $I = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ is the ideal of polynomials with constant coefficients is not finitely generated.

Theorem: Hilbert's Basis Theorem: If R is Noetherian, then $R[x]$ is Noetherian.

2 Modules

Definition: Suppose R is a ring. A module M is an abelian group $(M, +)$ equipped with an action of R

$$R \times M \rightarrow M, \quad (r, m) \mapsto rm, \tag{2.1}$$

such that

- (i) $(r + s)m = rm + sm$
- (ii) $r(m + n) = rm + rn$
- (iii) $(rs)m = r(sm)$
- (iv) If R has identity, then $1m = m$.

Note that if R has no identity, $rm = 0$ for all r, m is a possibility.

To be specific, the above is a left module, but the same thing can be applied to a right module. If M is an R -module, a submodule is a subgroup $N \leq M$ (relative to $+$) such that $rn \in N \forall r \in R, n \in N$.

Some examples,

- If R is a ring, then it is a module over itself. Submodules are then ideals.
- If $R = F$ is a field, then any F -module V is a vector space over F . However, we should not expect modules to be like vector spaces in general. If we write $R^n = (r_1, \dots, r_n) = R \times \cdots \times R$ with componentwise addition and multiplication, R^n is an R -module, called the **free R -module of rank n** .
- Suppose that $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Then any \mathbb{Z} -module M is an abelian group, and vice versa (i.e. the conditions don't add any extra structure)

- Suppose F is a field, V is a vector space over F and $T : V \rightarrow V$ is an F -linear operator. Then V becomes a $F[x]$ -module by letting

$$xv = Tv, \quad (a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0)v = a_n T^n v + \cdots + a_1 T v + a_0 v \quad (2.2)$$

Note that $F[x]$ has many module structures on V , one for each T . What is an $F[x]$ -submodule of V ?

- A **T -stable** subspace W (i.e. $T(W) \leq W$) is also called a subspace preserved by T .

- Suppose F is a field, G is a group, and recall the group ring

$$F[G] = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i \mid a_i \in F, g_i \in G \right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

if there is an action of G on an F -vector space V then V becomes a $F[G]$ -module.

Suppose M is an R -module. Suppose $I \subset R$ is an ideal such that $i \cdot m = 0 \forall i \in I, \forall m \in M$. Then we say I **annihilates** M . In this case, the obvious choice of R/I on M is well-define: $(r+I)m = rm$ and $(r+i+I)m = (r+i)m = rm+0 = rm$.

Definition: Suppose R is commutative ring with identity 1_R . Suppose A is a ring with identity 1_A and suppose there exists a homomorphism

$$\varphi : R \rightarrow A$$

such that $\varphi(1_R) = 1_A$ and $\varphi(R) \subseteq Z(A)$, then A is called an R -algebra.

For example,

- let $R = F$ be a field and $A = F[x]$, and $\varphi(r) = r$ (a constant polynomial).
- This also works for any commutative ring R with 1 and $A = R[x]$ and it also works for commutative rings $R \subset S$ with $1_R = 1_S$. For example, $S[x]$ is an R -algebra and $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is an \mathbb{Q} -algebra and a \mathbb{Z} -algebra.
- Also true for group rings! If R is commutative with identity, then $R[G]$ is an R -algebra for any (finite) group G . Note, the algebra may no longer be commutative.
- Perhaps the most important example, let $R = F$ be a field and $A = M_{n \times n}(F)$. Let $\varphi(r) = r \cdot \text{id}_n$.
- One non-trivial example: $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ is a \mathbb{Z} -algebra, where $\varphi(n) = n + p\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

Definition: If A is an R -module then $B \subseteq A$ is an R -submodule if it is closed under addition and multiplication.

Proposition 2: If R contains 1, then it is sufficient to check $(b+rc) \in B$ for all $b, c \in B, r \in R$.

Definition: If A, B are R -modules, then $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is an R -homomorphism if $\varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$ and $\varphi(rm) = r\varphi(m)$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $r \in R$.

In this case, $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ is an R -submodule of B and $\ker(\varphi)$ is an R -submodule of A .

You can always take the quotient between a module and a submodule. That is, if $A \subseteq B$ are R -modules, then B/A is an R -submodule.

An example from linear algebra is that

$$T(V) \cong V / \ker(T). \quad (2.4)$$

Definition: We write $\text{HOM}_R(M, N)$ for the set of R -module homomorphisms $f : M \rightarrow N$ (where M, N are R -modules).

Notice: $\text{HOM}_R(M, N)$ is an R -module. If $f, g \in \text{HOM}_R(M, N)$, $r \in R$, then $(f+g)(m) = f(m) + g(m)$ and $(rf)(m) = r f(m)$.

If $f \in \text{HOM}_R(M, N)$ and $g \in \text{HOM}_R(N, P)$ then $g \circ f \in \text{HOM}_R(M, P)$. Therefore, $\text{HOM}_R(M, M)$ is a ring. This ring is called the **endomorphism ring** of M . It is interchangeably called $\text{END}_R(M)$.

TESTABLE MATERIAL ENDS (END OF 10.2)

Assume that R has an identity. If M is an R -module and $A \subseteq M$ is a (possibly finite) subset, then there is a **free module on A** , the set of all finite R -combinations of elements of A ,

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n r_i a_i \mid r_i \in R, a_i \in A \right\}.$$

This is a set of formal sums, *not* a subset of A .

Definition: If $N_1, \dots, N_n \subseteq M$ are R -submodules of M , their sum is

$$N_1 + \dots + N_n = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n r_i n_i \mid r_i \in R, n_i \in N_i \right\} \quad (2.5)$$

It is easy to show that this is an R -submodule of M , the smallest one that contains all the N_i s.

Definition: If $A \subseteq M$, and A is a subset of R -module M , then

$$RA = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n r_i a_i \mid r_i \in R, a_i \in A \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

RA is an R -submodule of M , the smallest such that contains A . Even if A is infinite, we still only have finite sums.

If N_1, \dots, N_n are R -modules, consider the product

$$N_1 \times N_2 \times \dots \times N_n = \{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_n) \mid n_i \in N_i\}. \quad (2.7)$$

If each N_i is a submodule of M then there is a map $\varphi : N_1 \times N_2 \times \dots \times N_n \rightarrow M$ defined by $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_n) \mapsto n_1 + \dots + n_n$.

If φ is an isomorphism, $\ker \varphi = \{0\}$. Note: missing some stuff here onwards.

Remarks: If $R = F$ is a field, then R -direct sums are just vector space direct products, i.e.

$$N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_n \cong N_1 \times \dots \times N_n. \quad (2.8)$$

This remark is worth making because it is not true for infinite products and sums.

Example 1: In $F[X]$, let $A = \{1, x\}$, then

$$FA = \{a + bx \mid a, b \in F\}. \quad (2.9)$$

But note that A generates $F[x]$ as a ring, even though it does not generate it as an F -module.

If M is an R -module and $A \subseteq M$ such that $M = RA$ then we say A generates M . If $M = RA$ for some finite set A , then M is finitely generated.