Random Walks in a Vibrating Chain: A More Generalized Approach to Studying Unknotting

QiLin Xue

(Dated: October 6, 2022)

In this experiment, a trefoil knot was tied in the center of chains made up of 3.9 mm diameter brass beads^(pg 9). When vibrated on a flat aluminum plate at a frequency of 17 Hz and accelerations of 2.6g - 3.5g, the three crossings on the trefoil knot exhibit stochastic behavior, and given sufficient time, will unknot. Various lengths were tested, ranging from N = 36 to N = 80 beads^(pg 6-7,9). The apparatus setup followed Professor David Bailey's instructions, but the mathematical model we worked with was based off of E. Ben-Naim et al's work[1]. Ben-Naim's experiment showed that the average unknotting time scaled like

$$\tau \sim (N - N_0)^2$$

where N_0 is the number of beads involved in the knot. This is able to be derived by modelling the motion of the crossing points as a random walk. Our experiment will refute this claim and show that under certain circumstances, the unknotting time scales linearly^(pg 21-27). The reason for this difference is that under certain chain geometries and physical conditions, the crossing points may display an asymmetric walk and there is a preference for the three crossing points to move in a certain direction, namely^(pg 10-13):

$$au \sim \frac{2N - N_0}{4p - 2} \cdot \frac{\kappa^{N_0/2} - \kappa^{N/2}}{\kappa^{N_0/2} + \kappa^{N/2}},$$

where $\kappa = \frac{1}{p} - 1$. In the p = 0.5 case, the limit approaches $\frac{1}{4}(N - N_0)^2$ as predicted. In fact, for any $p \neq 1/2$, our model and computer simulation (pg 32) shows that the asymptotic behavior is linear.

Further proof of this asymmetry is found by noting there is a particular preference for the knot to travel in a certain direction^(pg 8). Namely, if the end of the chain enters the trefoil knot by going over the knot^(pg 5), then the knot will always end up in that direction. This motivates the idea of tying the knot off-center at a location that is far away from the favored end^(pg 14-16), in an attempt to counter the asymmetry. We derive that if the knot is placed rN away from the unfavored end, where 0 < r < 0.5, then the probability it will reach the unfavored end of the chain is given by^(pg 16-17)

$$P = \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right)^{rN}$$

Our experimental results^(pg 40) show an exponential decay that is similar to the above.

Slow motion video of the stochastic nature of the knot was taken, and analyzed by frames (pg 41-45). The main challenge was that it was very time exhaustive. However, this analysis was able to reveal some inconsistencies of our generalized model (pg 45).

Because the behavior of the knot under vibrations is a stochastic process, the majority of the uncertainties come from simply not collecting enough data to make a definitive statement about averages. If we are to ensure the set-up procedure is always consistent,^(pg 5) then each trial can take a considerable amount of time, and our data analysis has shown that 30 trials per condition is not enough to make conclusive justifications.

E. Ben-Naim, Z. A. Daya, P. Vorobieff, and R. E. Ecke. Knots and random walks in vibrated granular chains. *Physical Review Letters*, 86(8):1414–1417, February 2001.